STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS SECURITIES DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING Whether there has been a violation of the Securities Act of Washington by: Alfred Mark Swanson, Donald L. Swanson, Sr.,	Order No. S-14-1405-14-FO01 ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND FINAL ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, TO IMPOSE FINES, AND TO CHARGE COSTS
Respondents.	

INTRODUCTION

On May 30, 2014, the Securities Administrator of the state of Washington issued order number S-14-1405-14-SC01, a Statement of Charges and Notice of Intent to Enter Order to Cease and Desist, to Impose Fines, and to Charge Costs ("Statement of Charges"), against Respondents Alfred Mark Swanson, a/k/a Mark Swanson, and Donald L. Swanson, Sr.

The Statement of Charges, together with a Notice of Opportunity to Defend and Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice of Opportunity for Hearing") and an Application for Adjudicative Hearing, was served on Respondent Alfred Mark Swanson on June 9, 2014, and on Respondent Donald L. Swanson, Sr. on June 5, 2014.

The Notice of Opportunity for Hearing advised each respondent that a written application for an administrative hearing on the Statement of Charges must be received within twenty days from the date of receipt of the notice. On June 27, 2014, the Securities Division received an Application for Adjudicative Hearing from each respondent in which each respondent waived his right to a hearing. In lieu of a hearing, Respondents Alfred Mark Swanson and Donald L. Swanson, Sr. submitted a written statement for consideration by the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions or the Securities Administrator.

After considering the written statement, the Securities Administrator finds no material grounds for amendment of the Statement of Charges. The Securities Administrator will therefore adopt as final the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as set forth in the Statement of Charges and enter a final order against Respondents to cease and desist from violations of the Securities Act, to impose a fine, and to charge costs.

1.5

5

13

21

24

ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND FINAL ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, TO IMPOSE FINES, AND TO CHARGE COSTS

The Securities Administrator makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondents

- 1. Alfred Mark Swanson, a/k/a Mark Swanson, ("Mark Swanson") is a resident of Illinois.
- 2. Donald L. Swanson, Sr. ("Donald Swanson") is a resident of Illinois and Mark Swanson's brother.

Related Parties

- 3. Acquisitive, LLC was a Nevada limited liability company that was formed in December 2008. Nevada revoked the company's LLC status after Acquisitive, LLC failed to file a list of officers in December 2011. Donald Swanson was a manager of Acquisitive, LLC. At all times relevant to this Statement of Charges, Mark Swanson did business as Acquisitive, LLC.
- 4. Dreamwalk Holdings, LLC ("Dreamwalk") was a Nevada limited liability company that was formed in April 2012. Nevada revoked the company's LLC status after Dreamwalk failed to file a list of officers in May 2012. Mark Swanson was the noncommercial registered agent of Dreamwalk.
- 5. Eric M. Beaird ("Beaird") is a resident of Connecticut.

Nature of the Offering

- 6. In or around March 2013, Mark and Donald Swanson offered and sold a \$50,000 investment to a Washington resident. The Swansons told the Washington investor that the investor would receive a return on her investment within a couple of weeks. At the time of the offer, the Washington investor was non-accredited, and Mark and Donald Swanson did not have a pre-existing relationship with the investor.
- 7. The Washington investor was introduced to the Swansons and the investment by Beaird, who the Washington investor knew through other business dealings. Beaird told the Washington investor that Beaird had done business with Mark and Donald Swanson in the past, and that the Swansons were successful businessmen. Beaird told the Washington investor that the Swansons needed \$75,000 to complete a transaction that involved the sale of gold from one Philippine trust to another.
- 8. Beaird suggested that he and the Washington investor invest together. The Washington investor agreed to invest \$50,000, and Beaird said he would invest \$25,000. Beaird told the Washington investor that investing \$75,000 in the transaction would result in a profit of \$1.4 million, which Beaird and the Washington investor would split. Beaird told the Washington investor that the trusts were old and established, so the Washington investor could not lose her investment.

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

25

24

- 9. After introducing the investment to the Washington investor, Beaird set up a conference call with Beaird, the Washington investor, Donald Swanson, and Mark Swanson. On the call, the Swansons told the Washington investor that Donald Swanson knew people who ran one of the Philippine trusts. Mark Swanson told the Washington investor that the investor and Beaird would make at least \$1.4 million from the investment and could make as much as \$2 million. Mark Swanson told the Washington investor that she would receive her portion of the profit in a couple of weeks. The Washington investor had no expectation of control over the administration or management of the gold transaction. Mark Swanson did not provide the Washington investor with any written documents regarding the investment.
- 10. On March 8, 2013, per Mark Swanson's instructions, the Washington investor wired \$50,000 from her retirement account to a bank account in the name of Dreamwalk. On March 20, 2013, Mark Swanson stated in an email to the Washington investor that she should receive her investment profit by the end of the week.
- 11. Mark Swanson continued to provide investment updates to the Washington investor by phone and email, often relaying information that Donald Swanson purportedly received from his contact person at the trust. Mark Swanson repeatedly told the Washington investor that the Washington investor should receive her money within a few days or a week. Mark Swanson gave the Washington investor several reasons for why the investor had not received a payout from the investment yet, including that military personnel were brought in to handle the money transfer, a military general called off the money transfer, there were security issues due to national elections, security concerns related to transferring the money, a typhoon that hit the Philippines, and Philippine Independence Day.
- 12. By September 2013, Mark Swanson stopped providing the Washington investor with updates on the investment. Despite the Washington investor's requests that her money be partially or fully refunded, as of the date of the Statement of Charges, Mark Swanson has not provided the Washington investor with a refund or a return on her investment.

Misrepresentations and Omissions

13. Mark and Donald Swanson failed to provide the Washington investor with material information regarding the investment, including but not limited to a detailed description of the investment, the names of the trusts in the Philippines, the financial condition of the trusts, the identity and background of the people managing the trusts, the total amount of money raised, how the Washington investor's funds would be used, whether and how the people facilitating the transaction would be compensated, and the general and specific risks of investing in a transaction involving the sale of gold from one Philippine trust to another.

360-902-8760

13

25

14. Mark and Donald Swanson also failed to disclose to the Washington investor that Donald Swanson was the subject of multiple civil judgments from 2011 related to failed real estate investments, and that Mark and Donald Swanson had each defaulted on their home mortgages and an Illinois circuit court judge had entered an Order of Foreclosure against Donald Swanson in December 2012 and against Mark Swanson in February 2013.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact, the following Conclusions of Law are made:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The offer or sale of the investment as described above constitutes the offer or sale of a security as 1. defined in RCW 21.20.005(14) and RCW 21.20.005(17).
- 2. The offer or sale of said securities was in violation of RCW 21.20.010 because, as set forth in the Tentative Findings of Fact, Respondents made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

FINAL ORDER

Based upon the foregoing and finding it in the public interest:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the respondents, Alfred Mark Swanson and Donald L. Swanson, Sr., their agents, and their employees each shall cease and desist from violating RCW 21.20.010, the antifraud section of the Securities Act of Washington.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the respondents, Alfred Mark Swanson and Donald L. Swanson, Sr., shall be jointly and severally liable for and shall pay a fine in the amount of \$5,000.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the respondents, Alfred Mark Swanson and Donald L. Swanson, Sr., shall be jointly and severally liable for and shall pay costs in the amount of \$750.

AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This FINAL ORDER is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 21.20.390 and RCW 21.20.395, and is subject to the provisions of RCW 21.20.440 and Chapter 34.05 RCW. Respondents have the right to petition the superior court for judicial review of this agency action under the provisions of Chapter 34.05 RCW. For the requirements for Judicial Review, see RCW 34.05.510 and sections following. Pursuant to

25