# STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

1

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

\_\_\_

2324

IN THE MATTER OF DETERMINING
Whether there has been a violation of the Check
Cashers and Sellers Act of Washington by:

ACE CASH EXPRESS, INC.,

Respondent.

No. C-15-1737-16-SC01

STATEMENT OF CHARGES and NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER AN ORDER TO REVOKE LICENSE, ORDER RESTITUTION, IMPOSE FINE, AND COLLECT INVESTIGATION FEES

## INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200, the Director of the Department of Financial Institutions of the State of Washington (Director) is responsible for the administration of chapter 31.45 RCW, the Check Cashers and Sellers Act (Act). Having conducted an examination and investigation pursuant to RCW 31.45.100, and based upon the facts available on the date of this Statement of Charges, the Director, through his designee, Division of Consumer Services Director Charles E. Clark, institutes this proceeding and finds as follows:

## I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1.1 Respondent Ace Cash Express, Inc. (Respondent), a financial services company headquartered in Irving, Texas, is an active Washington for-profit corporation. Respondent was first licensed by the Department of Financial Institutions (Department) on September 12, 2006, as a check casher and seller with a small loan endorsement. Respondent advised the Department on May 21, 2015, that it would be surrendering its license and closing all operations in Washington effective August 8, 2016. Respondent requested to surrender its check casher and seller license on January 25, 2016, but did not submit all required paperwork to the Department.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The small loan endorsement is required to make small loans in Washington. Licensees are required to set the due date for repayment of small loans to a date on or after the borrower's next pay day, hence "payday loans." Throughout this Statement of Charges we use the phrase "payday loans" in lieu of "small loans," as they mean the same.

1	1
2	F
3	e
4	r
5	r
6	t
7	e
8	s
9	1
10	7
11	i
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

22

23

24

1.2 Examination. In February 2015, Respondent's business practices were examined by a team of
Financial Examiners from the Department's Division of Consumer Services (Division). The on-site
examination was conducted at 10 Department-licensed branch locations, and included payday loans
made to Washington borrowers between January 1, 2010, and April 28, 2015. The examination
revealed numerous violations of the Act, including that Respondent had failed to record that more
than 1,700 borrowers had defaulted on payday loans originated by Respondent during the
examination period. As a result, those ineligible Washington borrowers obtained more than 4,000
subsequent payday loans from other Washington-licensed payday lenders.

- 1.3 Prohibited Payday Loans. The examination also revealed that Respondent made more than 700 prohibited payday loans to more than 360 Washington borrowers, collecting more than \$48,000 in loan and default fees.
  - A. Statutory Loan Limit. Respondent made more than 160 prohibited payday loans to more than 65 Washington borrowers who had met or exceeded their statutory payday loan limit of eight loans in a rolling 12 month period. Respondent collected at least \$10,000 in loan and default fees for making the prohibited payday loans.
  - **B.** Principal Balance Limit. Respondent made more than 300 prohibited payday loans to more than 190 Washington borrowers causing their payday loan principal balance to exceed the statutory limit of \$700 or 30% of the borrowers' gross monthly income. Respondent collected at least \$21,100 in loan and default fees for making the prohibited payday loans.
  - C. Borrowers in Installment Plans. Respondent made more than 150 prohibited payday loans to more than 75 Washington borrowers who were already on installment plans and therefor ineligible for another payday loan. Respondent collected at least \$12,000 in loan and default fees for making the prohibited payday loans.
  - D. Borrowers in Default. Respondent made more than 95 prohibited payday loans to more than 40 Washington borrowers who were already in default and therefor ineligible for another payday loan. Respondent collected at least \$5,750 in loan and default fees for making the prohibited payday loans.
  - E. Communication Log. Respondent failed to maintain a communication log of all telephone and written communications it initiated with Washington borrowers regarding its collection efforts for payday loans in default, including the date, time, and the nature of each communication.

360-902-8703

- **2.1 Definition of Small Loan.** Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(21), "Small Loan" means a loan up to the maximum amount and for the maximum term specified in RCW 31.45.073.
- **2.2 Definition of Borrower**. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(2), "Borrower" means a natural person who receives a payday loan.
- **2.3 Definition of Default**. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(9), "Default" means, in part, a borrower's failure to repay the payday loan in compliance with the payday loan agreement or note or failure to make any installment plan payment as agreed.

19

20

21

22

23

- 1		
1	2.4 Definition of Principal. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(19), "Principal" means the loan proceed	ds
2	advanced for the benefit of the borrower in a payday loan, excluding any fee or interest charge.	
3	2.5 Definition of Installment Plan. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.010(12), "Installment plan" means a	a
4	contract between a licensee and borrower that provides that the payday loan will be repaid in	
5	substantially equal installments scheduled on or after a borrower's pay dates.	
6	2.6 Statutory Maximum Number of Payday Loans. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth	ir
7	Section I above, Respondent is in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(4) for making payday loar	18
8	to Washington borrowers that resulted in those borrowers receiving more than eight payday loans	
9	from all licensees in any twelve-month period.	
10	2.7 Statutory Maximum Principal Amount/Balance of Payday Loans. Based on the Factual	
11	Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondent is in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.073(2)	
12	and (5) for making payday loans to Washington borrowers that resulted in those borrowers receiving	ng
13	more than the maximum principal amount, or more than the outstanding principal balance of all	
14	payday loans made by all licensees to a single borrower at any one time, which may not exceed \$70	00
15	or 30% of the gross monthly income of the borrower, whichever is lower.	
16	2.8 Prohibition against Making Payday Loans to Borrowers in Installment Plans. Based on	
17	the Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondent is in apparent violation of	
18	RCW 31.45.073(3) for making payday loans to Washington borrowers who were in an installment	
19	plan on another payday loan.	
20	2.9 Prohibition against Making Payday Loans to Borrowers in Default. Based on the	
21	Factual Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondent is in apparent violation of	
22	RCW 31.45.073(2) for making payday loans to Washington borrowers who were in default on	
23	another payday loan.	

1	2.10 Requirement to Comply with Recordkeeping. Based on the Factual Allegations set forth in
2	Section I above, Respondent is in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.060(2) for failing to keep and
3	maintain records by failing to maintain the communication log required by RCW 31.45.082(4).
4	2.11 Requirement to Comply with Director's Investigative Authority. Based on the Factual
5	Allegations set forth in Section I above, Respondent is in apparent violation of RCW 31.45.100 for
6	failing to comply with a Directive issued under the Director's investigative authority.
7	III. AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS
8	3.1 Authority to Revoke Licenses. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(a), the Director may
9	revoke a license if a licensee is violating or has violated the Act, including the rules promulgated
10	thereunder, is a source of injury or loss to the public, or commits any act, or engages in conduct, that
11	demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness.
12	3.2 Authority to Order Restitution. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(d), the Director may order
13	payment of restitution to Washington borrowers damaged by a licensee's violation of the Act.
14	3.3 Authority to Impose Fine. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.110(2)(c), the Director may impose a
15	fine on a license if a licensee is violating or has violated the Act, including the rules promulgated
16	thereunder, is a source of injury or loss to the public, or commits any act, or engages in conduct, that
17	demonstrates incompetence or untrustworthiness. The fine may not exceed one hundred dollars per
18	day, per violation, for each violation of the Act.
19	3.4 Authority to Collect Investigation Fees. Pursuant to RCW 31.45.050(1) and RCW
20	31.45.100, the Director shall collect from the licensee the actual cost of an investigation of a
21	licensee. Pursuant to WAC 208-630-380, the investigation fees will be calculated at the rate of
22	sixty-nine dollars (\$69) per hour that each staff person devoted to the investigation, plus actual costs
23	<i>"</i>
- 1	1

360-902-8703

### IV. NOTICE OF INTENT TO ENTER ORDER

Respondent's violations of the provisions of chapter 31.45 RCW and chapter 208-630 WAC, as set forth in the above Factual Allegations and Grounds for Entry of Order, constitute a basis for the entry of an Order under RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200. Therefore, it is the Director's intent to ORDER that:

- **4.1** Respondent Ace Cash Express, Inc.'s Washington license to conduct the business of a check casher and seller with a small loan endorsement be revoked.
- 4.2 Respondent Ace Cash Express, Inc. pay restitution to Washington borrowers damaged by Respondent' violations of the Act. As of the date of this Statement of Charges the amount of restitution is \$47,421.50; as the Department's investigation into Respondent's alleged violations of the Act continues the amount of restitution may increase.
- 4.3 Respondent Ace Cash Express, Inc. pay a fine to the Department. As of the date of this Statement of Charges the amount of the fine is \$50,000.00; as the Department's investigation into Respondent's alleged violations of the Act continues the amount of the fine may increase.
- 4.4 Respondent Ace Cash Express, Inc. pay investigation fees to the Department. As of the date of this Statement of Charges the amount of the investigative fees is \$1,725.00; as the Department's investigation into Respondent's alleged violations of the Act continues, the amount of investigative fees may increase.

### V. AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE

This Statement of Charges and Notice of Intent to Enter an Order to Revoke License, Order Restitution, Impose Fine, and Collect Investigation Fees (Statement of Charges) is entered pursuant to the provisions of RCW 31.45.110 and RCW 31.45.200, and is subject to the provisions of chapter 34.05 RCW, the Administrative Procedures Act. Respondent may make a written request for a hearing as set forth in the NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING accompanying this Statement of Charges.

23 |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Dated this \_/2 day of February, 2016. 1 2 3 4 5 6 Presented by: 7 8 9 ANTHONY W. CARTER Financial Legal Examiner 10 11 Approved by: 12 13 14 STEVEN C. SHERMAN **Enforcement Chief** 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23



CHARLES E. CLARK
Director, Division of Consumer Services
Department of Financial Institutions



KENNETH J. SUGIMOTO Financial Legal Examiner

STATEMENT OF CHARGES C-15-1737-16-SC01 ACE Cash Express, Inc.